Title. Envisioning the future with 'Compassionate Conservation': an ominous projection
 for biodiversity conservation

3 Abstract

4 The 'Compassionate Conservation' movement is gaining momentum through its promotion of 'ethical' conservation practices based on self-proclaimed principles of 'first-do-5 no-harm' and 'individuals matter'. We argue that the tenets of 'Compassionate Conservation' 6 7 are ideological - that is, they are not scientifically proven to improve conservation outcomes, yet are critical of the current methods that do. In this paper we envision a future with 8 'Compassionate Conservation' and predict how this might affect global biodiversity 9 10 conservation. Taken literally, 'Compassionate Conservation' will deny current conservation practices such as captive breeding, introduced species control, biocontrol, conservation 11 12 fencing, translocation, contraception, disease control and genetic introgression. Five mainstream conservation practices are used to illustrate the far-reaching and dire 13 consequences for global biodiversity if governed by 'Compassionate Conservation'. We 14 acknowledge the important role of animal welfare science in conservation practices but argue 15 that 'Compassionate Conservation' aligns more closely with animal liberation principles 16 protecting individuals over populations. Ultimately we fear that a world of 'Compassionate 17 Conservation' could stymie the global conservation efforts required to meet international 18 biodiversity targets derived from evidenced based practice, such as the Aichi targets 19 developed by the Convention on Biological Diversity and adopted by the International Union 20 for the Conservation of Nature and the United Nations. 21

22 *Keywords:* captive breeding, invasive species, translocation, contraception, inbreeding.

23 Contents

24	Abstract		1
25	1 Introduct	ion	2
26	2 The futur	re with 'Compassionate Conservation'	5
27	2.1 Zoo	s and captive breeding programs for reintroductions	5
28	2.2 Mai	nagement of pests and overabundant species	6
29	2.2.1	Contraception	6
30	2.2.2	Killing introduced species	7
31	2.2.3	Killing overabundant native species	.11
32	2.2.4	Harming individuals to protect species loss through hybridisation	.11

33	2.2	.5 Restricting the evolutionary potential of biodiversity	12
34	2.3	Land management (fences and barriers)	12
35	2.4	Disease management	14
36	2.5	Forced movements of individuals	15
37	3 Co	nclusion	17
38	3.1	The repercussions of 'Compassionate Conservation' are ill conceived	17
39	3.2	'Compassionate Conservation' is a major threat to conservation	18
40	3.3	Less extreme 'Compassionate Conservationists' need to clarify their position	18
41 42	3.4 conce	The logical extension of the published views of 'Compassionate Conservation' are erning	18
43	4 Ac	knowledgements	19
44	5 Re	ferences	19

45 1 Introduction

There are five guiding principles in conservation biology – diversity should be 46 47 preserved; untimely extinctions should be prevented; ecological complexity should be maintained; evolution should continue and biodiversity has intrinsic value (Soule, 1985). In 48 upholding these principles conservation science has developed a myriad of solutions to 49 provide biodiversity refuge from the myopic endeavours of the global human population. 50 The 6th mass extinction event currently underway is entirely anthropogenic (Ceballos et al. 51 2017), so developing solutions for the problems that we have initiated has been presented as a 52 moral obligation of our species, as well as in the interests of our own well-being (Kareiva and 53 Marvier 2012; Soulé 1986). Conservation practices such as captive breeding, introduced 54 species control, biocontrol, conservation fencing, translocation, contraception, disease control 55 56 and genetic introgression have saved hundreds of species from extinction (Hoffmann et al. 2010). The impacts are undeniable. Invasive species prey upon comparatively naïve native 57 58 species that are ill-prepared to dynamically respond or adapt to new competition for resources (Dowding and Murphy 2001; Fritts and Rodda 1998; Remeš et al. 2012). Accelerated climate 59 change is altering natural systems, creating phenological displacements that result in a 60 mismatch between reproductive output and peak food availability for many species (Thomas 61 62 et al. 2004; Vanbergen and Insect Pollinators Initiative 2013). This list is by no means exhaustive, but it provides clear examples of why conservation actions are necessary and 63 64 urgent in the 21st Century.

65 'Compassionate Conservation' is an emerging movement that has damned widely used conservation practices to tackle the biodiversity crisis (Wallach et al. 2018, Wallach et 66 al. 2015, Ramp et al. 2013). 'Compassionate Conservation' argues that wildlife individuals 67 have intrinsic value and recently discovered sentience (Wallach et al. 2018, Wallach et al. 68 2015, Bekoff 2007). While there is no principle in conservation biology that serves to 69 promote the suffering of animals, these two arguments of 'Compassionate Conservation' 70 71 have led them to proclaim 'It is well known that daily human activities can harm wildlife. Less well known is that many wildlife can also be harmed within conservation programs, 72 73 when based on captivity, culling, handling, and translocation' (University of Technology Sydney, 09 July 2019). The philosophical and moral aspects of this movement are described 74 elsewhere (Hayward et al. 2019, Ben-Ami 2017) but in summary 'Compassionate 75 76 Conservation' aims to treat animals as individuals and, copying a component of the Hippocratic Oath, promotes a principle of 'first-do-no-harm' (Bekoff 2010). Values of 77 78 inclusivity and peaceful co-existence are also principles of this movement (University of Technology Sydney, 09 July 2019) which are less extreme in view and application, however 79 published examples of these are rare (Hayward et al. 2019). A seminal example of less 80 81 extreme 'Compassionate Conservation' may be that described for zoos by Melbourne Zoo 82 CEO and ethicist, Jenny Gray. She envisages a middle ground where zoos continue to contribute to biodiversity conservation but with a transparent approach to death and suffering 83 84 that reflects the evolving scientific literature on sentience (Gray 2017). Euthanasia, for example, would consistent with such an approach. While 'Compassionate Conservation' is 85 86 still a developing discipline, their principles are in the same vein as popular ethicist Singer's Utilitarianism - individuals of species are as valuable to conservation as populations (Singer 87 88 1990). This is animal liberation. Under the 'Compassionate Conservation' umbrella it is dressed up as conservation. 89

The founders of 'Compassionate Conservation' sought to draw animal welfare and 90 conservation closer together (Born Free Foundation, 21 November 2019), but it is highly 91 questionable whether this is likely or even possible (Hayward et al. 2019). A key objective of 92 the 'Compassionate Conservation' movement is to 'find solutions for conservation 93 practitioners that minimise harming wildlife' (University of Technology Sydney, 09 July 94 95 2019). However, attacks on the conservation community are unlikely to engender a sense of co-operation between the two groups. This situation has arisen in at least two conservation 96 programs - the culling of wolves to protect caribou (Hervieux et al. 2014) and the release of 97

98 dingoes to eradicated goats on Pelorus Island (Yanco et al. 2019). Conservation scientists, practitioners, managers and biologists argue that the well-established science of animal 99 welfare is already incorporated into conservation science (Allen et al. 2019, Hayward et al. 100 2019, Fleming, 2018). This is not to deny the important process of continual improvement of 101 animal welfare practices in conservation. Continual assessment and refinement of the efficacy 102 and welfare consequences of implementing conservation practices is necessary and being 103 104 undertaken. However, a blind acceptance of the 'Compassionate Conservation' approach could ultimately restrict important conservation practices through, for example, its aversion 105 106 to controlling introduced species, inhibiting free animal movement, restricting relocations, contraception or medication, and promoting the translocation of one species to instil fear or 107 kill others (Hayward et al. 2019). It is this objective, together with the overriding concern of 108 individuals over populations that we denounce as an appropriate conservation ethos. 109 'Compassionate Conservation' may offer compassion to some individuals of a limited group 110 of taxa, but ultimately consigns many more individuals to an uncompassionate demise 111 (Hayward et al. 2019). It is a thinking that has only recently received critical attention 112 (Driscoll and Watson 2019; Fleming and Ballard 2018; Rohwer and Marris 2019; Russell et 113 al. 2016). 114

115 If novel 'Compassionate Conservation' strategies can improve current conservation practices, then they should be considered, but it is fundamentally important that conservation 116 methods be effective. Extinction is permanent, while the pain of a microchip or stress of 117 translocation is only temporary, yet the 'first-do-no-harm' to individuals approach of 118 'Compassionate Conservation' will change the focus of conservation from actions for the 119 collective good of populations and species to those focused solely on the welfare of a 120 relatively few individuals. The current conservation toolbox is critical to achieving the 121 122 ambitious Aichi Biodiversity Targets under the Convention of Biological Diversity. These targets demonstrate implementation of the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2012 which 123 has the overall goal of living in harmony with nature. This is desirable but difficult without 124 125 direct intervention in natural systems to achieve persistent native populations and selfregulating ecosystems. We argue that this cannot be achieved under a 'Compassionate 126 Conservation' Framework. 127

Public opinion drives legislation and funding decisions. Allowing 'Compassionate Conservation' to enter unchallenged into mainstream thought risks throwing out the majority of conservation actions that yield collective benefits to entire species rather than the more

- animal liberation-focused harm to individuals of 'Compassionate Conservation'. Here, we
- 132 envisage a future a 'compassionate' hands-off, do-no-harm approach to conservation
- 133 management using key case studies across five broad areas of conservation practice. Our
- 134 ominous predictions are derived from information in publications from the 'Compassionate
- 135 Conservation' movement.

136 2 The future with 'Compassionate Conservation'

137 2.1 Zoos and captive breeding programs for reintroductions

Vast numbers of species face extinction and approximately 15% of threatened species 138 currently rely on captive breeding programs (Conde et al. 2013; Conde et al. 2011). Many 139 zoos make a measurable positive contribution to biodiversity conservation and the recovery 140 of species via these programs (Ballantyne et al. 2007; Conde et al. 2013; Conde et al. 2011; 141 Moss et al. 2014). Consequently, zoos provide conservation research infrastructure with a 142 documented research output and peer-reviewed contribution to the evidence base for 143 conservation practice (Falk et al. 2007; Loh et al. 2018). Zoos also offer a large funding 144 budget for conservation research, which is important given stretched conservation dollars, 145 146 and the neglect of applied conservation research (Butchart et al. 2010; Howell and Rodger 2018; Waldron et al. 2017). Linkages across these institutions allow for transfer of 147 knowledge, as well as genetic studbooks and species survival plans. Zoos also contribute 148 substantially to public engagement with biodiversity and conservation-related issues. Visitors 149 to zoos have higher levels of biodiversity awareness, with improved knowledge of actions to 150 help protect biodiversity (Ballantyne et al. 2007; Swanagan 2000), with a global survey 151 showing a 5.3% increase in biodiversity understanding and an 8.3% increase in identification 152 of actions able to help protect biodiversity by the general public having visited zoos (Moss et 153 al. 2014). It is clear that zoos are critically important spaces for the development of 154 sustainable solutions for conservation problems as well as the education of the public on the 155 156 importance of these issues.

Under 'Compassionate Conservation' the captive management of species is criticised
on the grounds that it invariably causes stress to individual animals, inhibiting free movement
(Bekoff 2000). That stress is then depicted as inherently uncompassionate. Captivity is a

160 consequence of animals residing in a human-dominated world. 'Compassionate Conservation' ignores the immense efforts that most reputable zoos pursue to modify 161 enclosures, husbandry, and interaction to decrease stress experienced among these animals. 162 Despite these efforts ex-situ conservation practices such, zoos, captive breeding programs and 163 all novel recovery tools (e.g. advanced husbandry practices, selected genetics, biobanking 164 and artificial insemination) inherently cannot avoid harm to individuals, and these are 165 important tools for the recovery of the world's most threatened wildlife. Adoption of 166 'Compassionate Conservation' approaches would place an ethical ban on captive 167 168 conservation techniques that have demonstrably proven to be an effective means of recovering threatened species. To date the 'Compassionate Conservation' movement has not 169 produced a successful alternative, no-harm-to-individuals, evidence-based conservation tool 170 for the recovery of threatened species. 171

The black-footed ferret Mustela nigripes recovery program is considered the gold-172 standard for ex-situ species recovery (Dobson and Lyles 2000). Black-footed ferrets were 173 classified as "Extinct in the Wild" in 1987 and the captive breeding of more than 8,000 174 animals has facilitated the recovery of this species since 2008 (Belant et al. 2015). This 175 highly successful captive breeding program however, required thousands of prairie dogs 176 177 Cynomys spp., golden hamsters Mesocricetus auratus and other small mammals to be killed as food for the ferrets prior to release in the wild (Fig. 1). The acceptability of this practice 178 179 has been directly questioned by the 'Compassionate Conservation' movement (Bekoff 2010). Black-footed ferrets with the opportunity to kill live prairie dogs in large enclosures are much 180 more successful at capturing prairie dogs (and therefore surviving) in the wild than either 181 purely naïve individuals or those trained to catch hamsters in small artificial pens (Dobson 182 and Lyles 2000). Without this captive effort and the deaths of the provisioned prey, there is a 183 184 high likelihood that the black-footed ferret would now be extinct (Dobson and Lyles 2000), rather than returned successfully to the wild and improving in status to Endangered (Belant et 185 al. 2015). 186

187 2.2 Management of pests and overabundant species

188 2.2.1 Contraception

189 Contraception is considered a suitable method of population control for many large190 and long-lived animals, because it avoids killing but regulates population size.

191 'Compassionate Conservation' considers that permanent contraception causes harm to

192 individuals (neutering) and is physically invasive (surgery, injections or implants)(Palmer et al. 2012).. Contraception also diminishes opportunities to reproduce and pass on an 193 individual's genes (Palmer et al. 2012). Yet, removing contraception from the conservation 194 toolbox because of the harm it causes individual animals would mean African elephants 195 Loxodonta africana would be less likely to be reintroduced to small, fenced reserves in South 196 Africa because of the damage they do to vegetation and the flow-on effects to other species 197 (Kerley and Shrader 2007; Kerley and Landman 2006; Kerley et al. 2007; Landman et al. 198 2008). Given the fragmentation of habitat and increased use of fencing across Africa, this 199 200 would lead to a decline in elephant conservation opportunities. Similarly, large predators would likely be reintroduced into fewer fenced reserves, and would likely also be removed or 201 202 subject to culling given their rate of population increase and prospects of overpopulation (Fig. 1; Clements et al. 2016). The tendency to be dogmatic, which is inherent to the 203 'Compassionate Conservation' movement (i.e., do-no-harm), ignores the subsequent 204 consequences that arise from the adoption of such an agenda. 205

206

2.2.2 Killing introduced species

207 Predation by the introduced red fox Vulpes vulpes and feral cat Felis catus has been 208 identified as one of the largest contributing factors to the decline or loss of a myriad of native 209 fauna species in Australia (Woinarski et al. 2015) and conservation methods to minimise 210 these impacts are critically important. Maremma sheepdogs have been trialled to protect little penguins Eudyptula minor on Middle Island, Victoria, from predation by introduced red 211 foxes that drove a decline from 600 to 10 penguins at the site (Wallach et al. 2015a). 212 Advocates of 'Compassionate Conservation' condone the use of domestic/companion animal 213 predators to defend native wildlife against another introduced predator, proclaiming it is more 214 compassionate than traditional poison-baiting or shooting (Wallach et al. 2015a, 2015b). 215 However, this can cause harm to individual red foxes by stressing or killing them, as well as 216 denying them a food source and thereby forcing their predation onto individuals of other 217 species (Allen et al. 2019), suggesting that death to animals by other non-human animals is 218 more ethically appropriate than death by humans (Hayward et al., 2019). Furthermore, the 219 220 maremmas themselves have been observed to kill penguins (King et al. 2015). Consequently, the deployment of guardian dogs may not reduce the incidence of individual death or harm, 221 222 but rather increase it – in contrast to the lethal removal of the fox, which harms only the fox. The Western Shield programme uses broad scale poisoning to actively reduce the 223 predation risk posed by introduced predators to Western Australia's native fauna and has led 224

to the restoration of functional ecological relationships for many native species in the wild

226 (Friend 1990; Kinnear et al. 2010; Kinnear et al. 2002; Possingham et al. 2004). This,

227 together with evidence of population responses on islands where introduced predators have

been removed or are absent (e.g. Rottnest Island, Barrow Island, Faure Island) and

information on species declines in areas without introduced predator management (e.g. the

range contraction of the numbat *Myrmecobius fasciatus* (Friend 1990)) provide unequivocal

evidence that the broad scale management of introduced predators through programs such as

232 Western Shield has likely prevented the extinction of many susceptible native species.

Harming individual introduced predators (i.e., killing with poisoned meat baits) is

unfortunately fundamental to having prevented these extinctions.

Compassionate conservationists offer three untested solutions for invasive animal 235 management (see Box 4 in Wallach et al. 2015a). Firstly, they advocate to leave the animals 236 alone and accept the novel ecosystems that have been created (Wallach et al. 2018), even in 237 238 situations like Gough Island where introduced house mice *Mus musculus* are driving 239 threatened albatross species toward extinction by eating them alive (Caravaggi et al. 2019; Marris 2018). Secondly, 'Compassionate Conservation' advocates using non-human apex 240 predators (e.g. dingoes Canis lupus dingo and gray wolves C. lupus) to suppress invasive 241 242 mesopredators (e.g. red foxes, feral cats, and coyotes Canis latrans; Fig. 2) anticipating a resultant "balance" that will benefit small prey (Johnson and Ritchie 2013; Prugh et al. 2009; 243 244 Ritchie et al. 2016; Wallach et al. 2017). It is difficult to imagine how being attacked and killed by a wolf is a more compassionate welfare outcome for the individual coyote than 245 being shot by a human (e.g., see Fig. 2). Contradicting this proposed solution is their third 246 suggestion that apex predators will subsequently reduce introduced, invasive prey species, 247 including lagomorphs (Wallach et al. 2015a), which are small mammalian prey. An 248 249 important theme here is that species within these trophic systems have some means of differentiating among those that are native and those that are invasive. More likely, these 250 interspecies interactions are based upon the rates at which species encounter one another and 251 the interspecific behaviour (i.e., naïveté) of the species when they do. The plausibility of the 252 first two hypotheses is contested on methodological and theoretical grounds (Ford et al. 2017; 253 Ford and Goheen 2015; Hayward and Marlow 2014; Peterson et al. 2014; White 2016) and 254 the third on ecological and historical grounds (Cooke and Soriguer 2017; Fleming and 255 Ballard 2019). Contrary to the suggestion that dingoes always regulate feral ungulate 256 populations, Corbett (1995) found that dingoes did not regulate feral pig Sus scrofa 257

populations in Australia's Northern Territory, and did reduce the negative impacts that feral 258 pigs have on tropical ecosystems (Bowman and McDonough 1991; Fordham et al. 2006). 259 Repairing the detrimental effects of European rabbits Oryctolagus cuniculus on ecological 260 values requires their near-eradication and long-term exclusion (Bird et al. 2012; Cooke 2012; 261 Mutze 1991). There is no evidence that rabbit populations can be suppressed by predators in 262 the long-term (Pech et al. 1992), and the ecological benefits of long-term low densities of 263 rabbits (Mutze et al. 2008; Pedler et al. 2016) have only been achieved by human suppression 264 through integrated control centred on successful biocontrol (Cooke et al. 2013; Cooke 2012). 265

The cane toad Rhinella marina has invaded more than 50 countries globally (Lever 266 2001). In the majority of cases, native predators that have not coevolved with bufonids (true 267 toads) attempt to consume the novel prey and die by lethal toxin ingestion (Phillips et al. 268 2003; Shine 2010). In a number of documented cases, these individual deaths have translated 269 into serious population declines or even extirpations of species (Doody et al. 2009; Doody et 270 271 al. 2014; Doody et al. 2017). Further, there is now emerging evidence that the decline and extirpation of these top-order predators due to toads is having flow-on effects throughout 272 entire animal communities via facilitation and trophic cascades, in species as seemingly 273 unrelated as weed-eating turtles and seed-eating birds (Doody et al. 2013; Doody et al. 2017; 274 275 Doody et al. 2015), throwing ecosystems into turmoil. With advancing technologies such as CRISPR and gene drive to manipulate genomes, there are potential control or eradication 276 options on the horizon for cane toads (Tingley et al. 2017). But 'Compassionate 277 Conservation' could foreseeably deny these control or mitigation efforts on grounds of 278 restricting the rights of an individual toad to breed, resulting in the ongoing painful deaths of 279 millions of individual native predators, declines and extirpations of entire species, and broad 280 ecosystem impacts via trophic cascades. 281

282 The global detrimental environmental effects of feral horses Equus caballus range from soil loss, trampling of vegetation leading to a reduction in plant species richness, death 283 of native trees due to bark chewing, damage to water bodies, transport of weeds, as well as 284 altering the community composition of birds, fish, crabs, small mammals, reptiles and ants 285 (Nimmo and Miller, 2007). This has led to damage by feral horses being listed as a key 286 287 threatening process in some jurisdictions. Feral horses number about 400,000 in Australia. Their detrimental impacts have been ignored in calls by 'Compassionate Conservationists' to 288 289 consider them as part of the local fauna in Australia (Lundgren et al. 2018). Yet, the current 290 scientific consensus is to limit the impact of these animals through *in-situ* killing (aerial

291 and/or ground shooting), as well as fertility control and fencing, or through alternative 292 methods such as capture (passive trapping, mustering, roping), followed by post-capture options (on-site killing, loading and transport, domestication, lairage and slaughter). 293 Conservationists have an obligation to carry out these actions as humanely as possible, and 294 objective analysis demonstrates that aerial shooting/culling is the most humane control 295 method (based on factors of thirst/hunger/malnutrition, environmental challenge, 296 297 injury/disease/functional impairment, behavioural/interactive restriction and anxiety/fear/pain/distress; Sharp and Saunders 2011). But any sort of killing, including the 298 299 most humane method possible, is impossible under a 'Compassionate Conservation' approach. 300

Politicians have adopted such a 'compassionate' approach to feral horse management 301 in New South Wales that policy makers have opted for heritage listing of feral horses within 302 national parks; abandoning plans for population control and developing a 'rehoming' 303 approach to horse management (representing a rejection of scientific discourse and evidence-304 305 based policy), which has received backlash from both scientists and major animal welfare groups (Hannam 2018; McGowan 2018). The feral horse problem in Australia's Kosciuszko 306 307 National Park is an example of the potential for 'Compassionate Conservation'-styled approaches to gain policy traction based on emotion, marketing and public pressure. These 308 309 actions have environmental costs and negative implications at an individual horse level, with mass horse starvation predicted (putting it at odds with the ethos of 'Compassionate 310 Conservation') and already documented (https://www.abc.net.au/radio/programs/australia-311 312 wide/australia-wide-wild-horses-dying-in-drought/10326564). On average, 20% of Kosciuszko's feral horses are predicted to die of starvation each year, with a potential 7,000-313 11,000 horses perishing across the next ten years where aerial culling is not included in 314 management plans and populations are left to grow (Driscoll and Banks 2018). This example 315 illustrates that 'Compassionate Conservation' methods will cause more harm to more horses 316 317 than were originally proposed to be culled.

The eradication of feral cats and European rabbits from Macquarie Island has reversed the declining trajectories of threatened seabirds (Springer 2018). This harm to individual cats and rabbits has vastly reduced the harm those individuals cause through the direct killing and eating alive of the endemic birds (Dwyer 2018). There are countless examples of the conservation benefits of controlling and eradicating introduced species in Australia – largely because Australia's unique fauna evolved in isolation for so long from these exotic species.

Australia's biodiversity will be further decimated if 'Compassionate Conservation' principlesare implemented.

New Zealand's endemic fauna has also been devastated by introduced predators, and there has been a recent proposal to eradicate all such species from the country (Russell et al. 2015). 'Compassionate Conservation' has already identified the Pest-free New Zealand project as a target and aims to stop it (Bekoff 2017). However, the benefits of pest removal to native species in New Zealand would be enormous.

331 2.2.3 Killing overabundant native species

Conservation philosophy is also integral to management activities associated with 332 overabundant native species. These actions are often motivated by the need to maintain 333 ecosystem health. Take, for example, the control of eastern grey kangaroos Macropus 334 giganteus in Australia. Thousands of individual eastern grey kangaroos are harvested each 335 year due to overabundance following habitat alteration and the eradication of predators, 336 despite fervent protests among animal welfare groups. Though expensive and hotly debated 337 in the public realm, these conservation management activities have enabled grassland habitats 338 to recover, which have aided the conservation status of the endangered striped legless lizard 339 Delma impar and grassland earless dragon Tympanocryptis pinguicolla, such that populations 340 have increased (Pryor 2018). This management strategy will not be possible under a 341 'Compassionate Conservation' paradigm. 342

343 2.2.4 Harming individuals to protect species loss through hybridisation

Australian dingoes are threatened by hybridisation with domesticated dogs Canis 344 lupus familiaris (Corbett 2008). Under a 'Compassionate Conservation' approach, harming 345 sentient beings cannot be justified solely on the basis of noble aims (Wallach et al. 2015a). 346 To that end, the killing of one species to benefit another is not an acceptable scenario. This 347 presents a dilemma with respect to managing the impacts of hybridisation (Rhymer and 348 Simberloff 1996), because lethal control of free-roaming domestic dogs is one management 349 350 option that could reduce further mixing of dingo and domestic dog gene pools. In the absence of such management, hybridisation represents a serious threat to dingo populations, including 351 from genetic purity (Stephens et al. 2015) and morphological points of view (Crowther et al. 352 2014). There may be further effects on dingo behaviour and evolutionary trajectories more 353 broadly, especially if hybridisation results in the introgression of domestic gene variants 354 (Pilot et al. 2018) or rapid adaptation of dingoes to the ecological niche of domestic dogs that 355

are typically scavengers rather than active hunters (Newsome et al. 2014). Such a niche shift
could result in the loss of a native and endemic apex predator and exacerbate human-wildlife
conflicts if the hybrids switch to mostly eating anthropogenic foods (Newsome and van
Eeden 2017). Reducing the rates of mixing between dingoes and domestic dogs via lethal
control of the latter should thus be seen as a conservation priority (Allen et al. 2017), because
the potential benefits to dingoes – and ecosystem processes – more broadly outweigh the
costs to the individuals that are killed.

The endangered black-eared miner Manorina melanotis is threatened by genetic 363 364 introgression with its more common conspecific, the yellow-throated miner M. flavigula. Introgression was facilitated by human modification of semi-arid woodland habitats in south-365 eastern Australia, principally in the mid-1900s (Clarke et al. 2001). Whilst habitat restoration, 366 especially the closure of artificial waterpoints in core black-eared miner habitat, is an 367 important conservation management tool for the species, so too is direct intervention through 368 the targeted culling of yellow-throated miner colonies and individual yellow-throated miners 369 within defined core areas of the black-eared miner's distribution (Boulton and Clarke 2001; 370 Clarke et al. 2005). To date, in excess of 250 yellow-throated miners have been strategically 371 372 culled from sites in Victoria and South Australia when in close proximity to high quality 373 black-eared miner colonies (Black-eared Miner Recovery Team unpubl. data). Without this direct intervention, the status of the black-eared miner would not have improved from 374 375 'Critically Endangered' to 'Endangered'.

376

2.2.5 Restricting the evolutionary potential of biodiversity

Given the importance of sentience to the arbitrary line chosen to define
'Compassionate Conservation' (Hayward et al. 2019), it is likely that restricting the
reproductive potential of wildlife would be viewed as uncompassionate. Yet to avoid
overpopulation of species that can rapidly alter the ecology of protected areas, such as lions *Panthera leo* or elephants *Loxodonta africana*, South African conservationists have instigated
contraception for these species (Druce et al. 2011; Kerley and Shrader 2007; Whyte 2004).

383 2.3 Land management (fences and barriers)

To be truly compassionate, all individual animals should be able to move wherever they choose. Australia has the worst mammal extinction record of modern times, with at least 34 species lost since European settlement. Predation by feral cats was a primary cause of extinction in about two-thirds of these cases (Legge et al. 2017). Thirteen mammal taxa only survive extinction now because they are represented on predator-free islands and within

389 mainland fenced areas; and another 54 mammal taxa are seriously threatened by cat predation (Legge et al. 2018). Cats kill birds and reptiles too, consuming over 377 million birds and 390 647 million reptiles a year across Australia (Woinarski et al. 2017; Woinarski et al. 2018). Pet 391 cats contribute to this toll and are responsible for the death of an additional 61 million birds 392 393 and 53 million reptiles each year (Legge et al. 2018; Woinarski et al. 2017; Woinarski et al. 2018). By keeping pet cats indoors, pet owners can make a big difference to the annual toll of 394 cats on wildlife (Grayson and Calver 2004). Yet by restricting the free movements of pet cats, 395 it could be argued that owners are harming their cats by inhibiting their natural behaviours 396 397 (Fraser and MacRae 2011). 'Compassionate Conservation' has chosen arbitrary areas that it considers compassionate, and so it is not unreasonable to assume that restricting cats indoors 398 could be outlawed under a 'Compassionate Conservation' regime because it could be viewed 399 400 as harmful to individual cats.

The Arid Recovery Reserve is a large predator-proof fenced area in outback South 401 Australia with a 60 km² core conservation area that currently protects reintroduced 402 populations of six threatened species (Moseby and Read 2006). Three of these species 403 (greater stick-nest rat Leporillus conditor, western barred bandicoot Perameles bougainville 404 and burrowing bettong Bettongia leseuer) became extinct on mainland Australia due to 405 406 predation by introduced red foxes and cats and competition with introduced rabbits. Despite numerous attempts, none of these species have been successfully established into their former 407 408 ranges without the protection of predator-free fenced areas or islands (Bannister et al. 2016; 409 Moseby et al. 2018). While there might be hope for some (West et al. 2018), it seems that most of these vulnerable species do not have the life histories or behavioural strategies that 410 will allow them to ever coexist with introduced predators (Short et al. 2018). For these 411 animals, conservation fences coupled with the eradication of introduced predators are often 412 413 the only thing standing between them and extinction in the wild (Fig. 3), but the creation of such fences clearly impinges upon the free movements of animals and thereby restricts the 414 free choice of habitats (Hayward and Kerley 2009). 415

New Zealand has also utilised conservation fences to separate biodiversity from
invasive introduced predators. These have been highly successful in increasing the
population sizes of a suite of endemic and threatened New Zealand fauna (Innes et al. 2015;
Innes et al. 2012).

420 Under South African law, the Game Theft Act (Act 105 of 1991) conveys ownership of certain game species to private landowners with the condition that their properties are 421 adequately enclosed by fencing that conforms to the requirements of the provincial 422 conservation authority. This private ownership provides a level of financial security to 423 424 landowners that promotes the commercial use of game (Bothma and Von Bach 2010; Carruthers 2008). Over the last 30 years, this has led to a tremendous expansion of land in the 425 private wildlife sector to levels that are greater than twice the size of state protected areas 426 (Bothma and Von Bach 2010; Carruthers 2008; National Agricultural Marketing Council 427 428 2006; Taylor et al. 2016). Although the conservation value of these areas has not been formally assessed, anecdotal evidence suggests that many private wildlife properties provide 429 genuine benefits to biodiversity conservation (Taylor et al. 2016), despite clearly restricting 430 the free movement of larger animals in situations that can lead to higher predation risk 431 (Davies-Mostert et al. 2013; van Dyk and Slotow 2003), as well as other problems (Hayward 432 and Kerley 2009). If 'Compassionate Conservationists decree that restricting the free range of 433 individuals is uncompassionate, then these successful conservation practices will be stopped, 434 and many fenced reserves will likely be repurposed for activities that are not conducive to 435 conservation (e.g. intensive agriculture). 436

437 2.4 Disease management

438 The introduced North American eastern grey squirrel (Sciurus carolinensis) has caused regional red squirrel (S. vulgaris) declines and extinctions in western European 439 landscapes (Shuttleworth et al. 2015). It competes directly for resources and its presence 440 spreads the North American nematode Strongyloides robustus and increases local parasite 441 Trypanoxyuris sciuri infection rates in sympatric red squirrels (Romeo et al. 2015). Grey 442 squirrels carry squirrel adenovirus (Everest et al. 2014) and are an asymptomatic reservoir of 443 squirrelpox virus, an infection that produces epidemic pathogenic disease in red squirrels 444 (Fig. 1; Tompkins et al. 2002) and accelerates the rate of ecological replacement of the native 445 congener. As non-lethal methods are ineffective, the conservation of red squirrels is 446 dependent upon the culling, via live trapping, lethal spring traps and shooting, of invasive 447 448 grey squirrel populations.

Red squirrel translocation using both wild and captive bred animals has been pivotal
in regional population restoration initiatives (Lawton et al. 2015) with evolving management
protocols seeking to minimise stress and mortality risks where this is logistically feasible
(Everest et al. 2018). However, once free-ranging, survival can only be managed at the group

453 level amongst released founders as individuals enter a dynamic system with interspecific competition for resources (Shuttleworth et al. 2016). The control of epidemic disease in wild 454 animals often involves the culling of infected individuals to stem the spread of infection to 455 other individuals or zoonotically to other species. Culling is a management option that has 456 been effectively used in the UK during squirrelpox outbreaks affecting threatened red 457 squirrels (Chantrey et al. 2014). This technique has been deployed given that the recovery of 458 captive red squirrels infected with squirrelpox is rare. Given the epidemiological research 459 (Chantrey et al. 2014; McInnes et al. 2015; Sainsbury et al. 2008), prioritising the individual 460 461 over the population, as 'Compassionate Conservation' requires, would necessitate leaving an infected individual to attempt to combat the disease itself in situ and thus risk wider intra-462 specific pathogenic infection transmission with the elevated risk of local extinction. The 463 scientific view is unequivocal, however the UK public's lack of understanding of introduced 464 species and the problems they cause (Dunn et al. 2018) means that the 'Compassionate 465 Conservation' narrative could easily sway the public, government regulatory bodies and 466 politicians into ceasing support for such programmes, particularly in this era of 'fake news' 467 and science denial (Russell and Blackburn 2017b). 468

469 2.5 Forced movements of individuals

470 The forced movement, or translocation, of animals, can be a stressful experience. 471 Furthermore, capture and handling myopathy can be a potentially life-threatening situation for affected individuals. However, translocation of wildlife can be a highly effective tool for 472 promoting the conservation of species (Germano et al. 2015; Hayward 2011; Luther et al. 473 2016). To date, the Australian Wildlife Conservancy (AWC) has successfully translocated 474 several thousand individuals of 20 mammal species, including 13 nationally-threatened 475 species (Kanowski et al. 2018). Reintroductions to feral predator-free areas are one of the few 476 clear 'success stories' of Australian mammal conservation (Woinarski et al. 2014). They 477 involve firstly establishing the conditions that will enable the reintroduction (which usually 478 requires killing or removal of the threat), then reintroducing the target species, followed by 479 maintaining the conditions that enable target species persistence. For example, AWC's 480 481 fenced areas support secure populations of 10% of all extant greater bilbies Macrotis lagotis, 40% of numbats and 90% of bridled nailtail wallabies Onychogalea fraenata (Woinarski et 482 483 al. 2014). Eradication of eutherian predators from islands and fenced areas is a prerequisite to 484 the success of these programs for a suite of species highly vulnerable to predation (e.g., Fig. 485 3; Hayward et al. 2014; Ringma et al. 2017). Both eradication of feral animals and

translocation of threatened mammals are conducted in accordance with animal welfare 486 guidelines. In the case of eradication, ensuring feral animals are killed humanely; and in the 487 case of reintroduced animals, ensuring native animals are harvested, transported and released 488 using protocols that minimise stress and maximise survival. Despite adherence to these 489 490 stringent protocols, some mortality of individuals may occur as a result of capture myopathy or inability to adjust to conditions of the host environment, the latter usually more of an issue 491 for captive-bred than wild-to-wild translocations (Hayward et al. 2015). Clearly, these 492 practices would not be permissible under a 'first-do-no-harm' 'Compassionate Conservation' 493 494 paradigm, and Australia's fauna would continue its decline to extinction.

Like in Australia, South African conservationists have conducted numerous 495 translocations to establish new populations. Operation Phoenix moved >6000 mammals into 496 the Madikwe Game Reserve in what has been called the world's largest translocation 497 (Hofmeyr 1997). However, this enforced movement to new areas away from related 498 individuals and known habitats and into the presence of large predators could be considered 499 initiating harm to each of those individuals, and hence not a 'compassionate' form of 500 conservation - depending on where on the slippery-slope of compassion we end up (Hayward 501 502 et al. 2019).

503 The Iberá Wetland in Corrientes Province, Argentina, has lost much of its biodiversity, however the Conservation Land Trust has reintroduced native species including 504 the giant anteater Myrmecophaga tridactyla, pampas deer Ozotoceros bezoarticus, collared 505 peccary Pecari tajacu, South American tapir Tapirus terrestris and jaguar Panthera onca 506 (www.proyectoibera.org/en/english/index.htm; Zamboni et al. 2017). In 2007, this process 507 started with the first attempt to restore a population of globally vulnerable giant anteaters 508 following its local extinction around the middle of the 20th century due to a combination of 509 510 widespread commercial and subsistence hunting and a cattle ranching tradition based on the frequent use of fires and dogs. "Rewilding projects and debate are still in their infancy in 511 South American contexts" (Galetti et al. 2017), and there are not many examples of 512 successful reintroduction projects there. The giant anteater was successfully reintroduced in 513 Iberá, initiating an unprecedented restoration and conservation process in the country 514 (Jiménez-Pérez et al. 2016). This reintroduction success was due to the removal and control 515 of the main threats for the species in areas of strict protection, as well as the intense and 516 stressful monitoring of each released animal (Di Blanco et al. 2017a; Di Blanco et al. 2015; 517 Di Blanco et al. 2017b). If 'Compassionate Conservation' extends to causing stress to 518

individuals via capture, handling, sedation, fitting with radio transmitters, and relocation, this
project would never have been attempted or successful, and the only realistic future for giant
anteaters in this and other regions of Argentina would be on-going extinction.

522 **3** Conclusion

523 3.1 The repercussions of 'Compassionate Conservation' are ill conceived

This paper provides examples illustrating the repercussions of having a 524 'Compassionate Conservation' mindset on conservation techniques that are currently being 525 used successfully. The essential distinction between 'Compassionate Conservationists' and 526 mainstream conservationists is the former's focus on the welfare of the individual and the 527 latter's focus on conserving species, populations and habitats. Focusing on the rights of 528 individual animals at the expense of populations may lead to the extinction of many species 529 and populations. We hope that the case studies presented here will allow the scientific and 530 broader community to potentially understand the issue and consequences of stopping 531 532 conservation techniques that have been identified or could be construed as uncompassionate 533 under a 'Compassionate Conservation' paradigm (Hayward et al. 2019). Society must determine the importance and priorities of existing, science-based conservation versus 534 'Compassionate Conservation' principles, and this will have global consequences. Scientific 535 processes should be adhered to as one cannot decide to ethically pick and choose some 536 537 invasive species to be left alone and some species that we should be taking an 'uncompassionate' approach towards. Furthermore, 'Compassionate Conservation' results in 538 539 greater nett harm and poorer conservation outcomes than mainstream conservation practices 540 (Hayward et al. 2019).

The recent decision by the Brazilian government to naturalise non-native species 541 (Brito et al. 2018) illustrates the potential outcomes if 'compassion'-driven political will 542 drives decision making at the expense of ecological principles (and hence ecosystem 543 integrity). This acceptance of invasive non-native species is politically expedient given it cuts 544 the costs of eradication and control initiatives while simultaneously placating vocal animal 545 liberation groups. However, the decision can be potentially ecologically devastating given the 546 huge problems introduced species have caused globally (Simberloff 1995). The rise in 547 science denialism regarding introduced species raises the likelihood of this phenomenon 548 continuing in the future with grave implications for global biodiversity (Driscoll and Watson 549 550 In press; Russell and Blackburn 2017a).

551 3.2 'Compassionate Conservation' is a major threat to conservation

Humanity has caused the problems conservation is trying to fix, and we should not 552 afford ourselves the lazy luxury of absolution from rectifying them simply because we can 553 justify doing nothing or implementing arbitrary, ineffective strategies because it makes us 554 feel good. Consequently, we believe 'Compassionate Conservation' to be the most significant 555 new threat to biodiversity conservation. Adherence to 'Compassionate Conservation' 556 principles presents considerable risks to the general population with actions that would 557 damage securing and improving the plight of the Earth's biodiversity. Without society's 558 support, controlling invasive species will be impossible. If we cease controlling invasive 559 560 species, countless native species will go extinct and nature will become homogenised (Fig. 3). Extending the 'Compassionate Conservation' logic of do-no-harm (Hayward et al. 2019), 561 existing conservation practices of translocation, contraception of overabundant species, 562 parasite control, disease management, feeding captive animals, and conservation fencing are 563 564 all at risk of being outlawed. These arguments may be too challenging for scientists to assess 565 and perhaps philosophers are needed to determine the values to be prioritised. Nonetheless, we view 'Compassionate Conservation' as a major threat to biodiversity conservation and 566 567 think scientists and practitioners must challenge some of the fuzzy logic, contradictions and arbitrary distinctions inherent in 'Compassionate Conservation' ideals. 568

569 3.3 'Compassionate Conservationists' need to clarify their position

We acknowledge that the proposals put forward by the 'Compassionate Conservation' movement to solve the conservation crisis are limited at present, and have been randomly chosen to address mammalian well-being (Lundgren et al. 2018; Ramp 2013; Ramp and Bekoff 2015; Wallach et al. 2015a). We also acknowledge that they may be extreme views within the movement, and urge less radical 'Compassionate Conservationists' to clarify their position (Hayward et al. 2019) as publication in this area is lacking.

576 3.4 The logical extension of the published views of 'Compassionate Conservation' are 577 concerning

We hypothetically extend the proposals by 'Compassionate Conservationists' to existing conservation practices that may cause harm to individual animals for the good of the species as a whole (an anathema of 'compassionate' conservation; Bekoff 2010) given the slippery-slope of 'Compassionate Conservation' proposals (Hayward et al. 2019). In so doing, we acknowledge that controlling introduced species causes harm to individuals, but emphasise that it reduces harm to the millions of animals that introduced species kill daily

(Doherty et al. 2017; Woinarski et al. 2017; Woinarski et al. 2018). We acknowledge that 584 translocating individuals to found new populations of threatened species may cause stress, 585 injury and even mortality to some individuals (Hayward et al. 2015), but is likely to improve 586 the conservation outcomes for the species as a whole (Hayward 2011; Seddon et al. 2014). 587 We acknowledge that conservation fences may inhibit the movement patterns, resource 588 selection and genetic diversity of species restricted behind them and may cause stress, injury 589 590 or mortality to individuals, yet the success of these fences for the species protected by them is almost invariably good (Hayward et al. 2014). We acknowledge that contraception or 591 neutering individual animals restricts their fundamental individual evolutionary rights to 592 breed and pass on their genes, yet may ensure the survival of these and other species (Kerley 593 and Shrader 2007). If these acknowledgements serve nothing else, they should illustrate that 594 595 'Compassionate Conservationists' seek to conserve a selective and subjective aspect of human morality, whereas conservationists more generally seek to conserve biodiversity and 596 are willing to accept uncomfortable impacts on some individuals for the greater good of 597 species, populations and habitats, while supporting a larger moral endeavour - rectifying the 598 risks we impose on biodiversity. The philosophy of 'Compassionate Conservation' needs to 599 600 be thoroughly investigated before it becomes conservation mainstream.

601 4 Acknowledgements

We thank Michelle Drew, Tom Newsome, Duncan Sutherland and John Kanowski forcritical comments that improved this manuscript.

604 5 References

Allen, B.L., Allen, L.R., Ballard, G., Drouilly, M., Fleming, P.J.S., Hampton, J.O., Hayward,

- M.W., Kerley, G.I.H., Meek, P.D., Minnie, L., O'Riain, M.J., Parker, D.M., Somers, M.J.,
- 607 2019. Animal welfare considerations for using large carnivores and guardian dogs as
- vertebrate biocontrol tools against other animals. Biological Conservation 232, 258-270.
- Allen, B.L., Allen, L.R., Ballard, G., Jackson, S.M., Fleming, P.J., 2017. A roadmap to
- 610 meaningful dingo conservation. Canid Biology & Conservation 20, 45-56. Ballantyne, R.,
- 611 Packer, J., Hughes, K., Dierking, L., 2007. Conservation learning in wildlife tourism settings:
- 612 Lessons from research in zoos and aquariums. Environmental Education Research 13, 367-
- **613** 383.

- Bannister, H.L., Lynch, C.E., Moseby, K.E., 2016. Predator swamping and supplementary
- 615 feeding do not improve reintroduction success for a threatened Australian mammal, Bettongia
- 616 lesueur. Australian Mammalogy 38, 177-187.
- 617 Bekoff, M., 2007. Aquatic animals, cognitive ethology, and ethics: questions about sentience and
- other troubling issues that lurk in turbid water. *Diseases of aquatic organisms*, 75(2), 87-98.
- Bekoff, M., 2000. Animal emotions: exploring passionate natures. BioScience 50, 861-870.
- Bekoff, M., 2010. Conservation lacks compassion. New Scientist 207, 24-25.
- 621 Bekoff, M., 2017. The "Possum Stomp" vs. compassionate conservation and ethics it's time
- to call our New Zealand's brutal war on wildlife firmly, but nicely. Psychology Today
- 623 21/10/2017, https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/animal-emotions/201710/the-
- 624 <u>possum-stomp-vs-compassionate-conservation-and-ethics</u>.
- Belant, J.L., Biggins, D., Garelle, D., Griebel, R.G., Hughes, J.P., 2015. *Mustela nigripes*
- 626 http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T14020A45200314.en. IUCN Red List of
- 627 Threatened Species 2015, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- 628 Ben-Ami, D.,2017. Compassionate conservation, where to from here?. Israel Journal of
- Ecology and Evolution, 63(3-4), 1-4.
- Bird, P., Mutze, G., Peacock, D., Jennings, S., 2012. Damage caused by low-density exotic
- 631 herbivore populations: the impact of introduced European rabbits on marsupial herbivores
- and Allocasuarina and Bursaria seedling survival in Australian coastal shrubland. Biological
- 633 Invasions 14, 743-755.
- Born Free Foundation, n.d. Welcome to compassionate conservation, Born Free Foundation,
- 635 West Sussex, England. Available from http://compassionateconservation.net/ (accessed
- 636 November 2019).
- Bothma, J., Von Bach, H., 2010. Economic aspects of extensive wildlife production in
- 638 southern Africa, In Game Ranch Management. . pp. 83-96. Van Schaik, Pretoria, South
- 639 Africa.
- Boulton, R.L., Clarke, M.F., 2001. Controlling genetic introgression in the Black-eared
- 641 Miner. La Trobe University for the Black-eared Miner Recovery Team, Bundoora, Victoria,
- 642 Australia.
- Bowman, D., McDonough, L., 1991. Feral pig (Sus scrofa) rooting in a monsoon forest-
- 644 wetland transition, northern Australia. Wildlife Research 18, 761-765.
- Brito, M.F.G., Magalhães, A.L.B., Lima-Junior, D.P., Pelicice, F.M., Azevedo-Santos, V.M.,
- 646 Garcia, D.A.Z., Cunico, A.M., Vitule, J.R.S., 2018. Brazil naturalizes non-native species.
- 647 Science 361, 139-139.

- 648 Butchart, S.H.M., Walpole, M.J., Collen, B., van Strien, A., Scharlemann, J.P.W., Almond,
- 649 R.E.A., Baillie, J.E.M., Bomhard, B., Brown, C.J., Bruno, J., Carpenter, K.E., Carr, G.M.,
- 650 Chanson, J., Chenery, A.M., Csirke, J., Davidson, N.C., Dentener, F., Foster, M., Galli, A.,
- 651 Galloway, J.N., Genovesi, P., Gregory, R.D., Hockings, M., Kapos, V., Lamarque, J.-F.,
- 652 Leverington, F., Loh, J., McGeoch, M.A., McRae, L., Minasyan, A., Hernandez Morcillo,
- M., Oldfield, T.E.E., Pauly, D., Quader, S., Revenga, C., Sauer, J.R., Skolnik, B., Spear, D.,
- 654 Stanwell-Smith, D., Stuart, S.N., Symes, A., Tierney, M., Tyrrell, T.D., Vie, J.-C., Watson,
- R.M., 2010. Global biodiversity: indicators of recent declines. Science 328, 1164-1168.
- 656 Caravaggi, A., Cuthbert, R.J., Ryan, P.G., Cooper, J., Bond, A.L., 2019. The impacts of
- 657 introduced House Mice on the breeding success of nesting seabirds on Gough Island. Ibis
- **658** 161(3), 648-661.
- 659 Carruthers, J., 2008. "Wilding the farm or farming the wild"? The evolution of scientific
- game ranching in South Africa from the 1960s to the present. Transactions of the Royal
- 661 Society of South Africa 63, 160-181.
- 662 Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R., Dirzo, R., 2017. Biological annihilation via the ongoing sixth
- 663 mass extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines. Proceedings of the
- 664 National Academy of Sciences 114, E6089-E6096.
- 665 Chantrey, J., Dale, T.D., Read, J.M., White, S., Whitfield, F., Jones, D., McInnes, C.J.,
- 666 Begon, M., 2014. European red squirrel population dynamics driven by squirrelpox at a gray
- squirrel invasion interface. Ecology and Evolution 4, 3788-3799.
- 668 Clarke, R.H., Boulton, R.L., Clarke, M.F., 2005. Estimating population size of the Black-
- 669 eared Miner, with an assessment of landscape-scale habitat requirements. Pacific670 Conservation Biology 11, 174-188.
- 671 Clarke, R.H., Gordon, I.R., Clarke, M.F., 2001. Intraspecific phenotypic variability in the
- 672 black-eared miner (*Manorina melanotis*); human-facilitated introgression and the
- 673 consequences for an endangered taxon. Biological Conservation 99, 145-155.
- 674 Clements, H.S., Cumming, G.S., Kerley, G.I.H., 2016. Predators on private land: broad-scale
- socioeconomic interactions influence large predator management. Ecology and Society 21.
- 676 Conde, D.A., Colchero, F., Gusset, M., Pearce-Kelly, P., Byers, O., Flesness, N., Browne,
- 677 R.K., Jones, O.R., 2013. Zoos through the Lens of the IUCN Red List: A Global
- 678 Metapopulation Approach to Support Conservation Breeding Programs. PLoS ONE 8,
- 679 e80311.
- 680 Conde, D.A., Flesness, N., Colchero, F., Jones, O.R., Scheuerlein, A., 2011. An emerging
- role of zoos to conserve biodiversity. Science 331, 1390-1391.

- 682 Cooke, B., Chudleigh, P., Simpson, S., Saunders, G., 2013. The economic benefits of the
- biological control of rabbits in Australia, 1950–2011. Australian Economic History Review
- **684 53**, **91-107**.
- 685 Cooke, B.D., 2012. Rabbits: manageable environmental pests or participants in new
- 686 Australian ecosystems? Wildlife Research 39, 279-289.
- 687 Cooke, B.D., Soriguer, R.C., 2017. Do dingoes protect Australia's small mammal fauna from
- 688 introduced mesopredators? Time to consider history and recent events. Food Webs 12, 95-689 106.
- 690 Corbett, L., 2008. *Canis lupus ssp. dingo*, In The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008.
- 691 p. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T41585A10484199.en. IUCN, Gland,
- 692 Switzerland.
- 693 Corbett, L.K., 1995. Does dingo predation or buffalo competition regulate feral pig
- 694 populations in the Australian Wet-Dry Tropics? An experimental study. Wildlife Research
- 695 22, 65-74.
- 696 Crowther, M.S., Fillios, M., Colman, N., Letnic, M., 2014. An updated description of the
- Australian dingo (Canis dingo Meyer, 1793). Journal of Zoology 293, 192-203.
- 698 Davies-Mostert, H.T., Mills, M.G.L., Macdonald, D.W., 2013. Hard boundaries influence
- African wild dogs' diet and prey selection. Journal of Applied Ecology 50, 1358-1366.
- Di Blanco, Y.E., Desbiez, A.L., Jiménez-Pérez, I., Kluyber, D., Massocato, G.F., Di Bitetti,
- 701 M.S., 2017a. Habitat selection and home-range use by resident and reintroduced giant
- anteaters in 2 South American wetlands. Journal of Mammalogy 98, 1118-1128.
- Di Blanco, Y.E., Jiménez Pérez, I., Di Bitetti, M.S., 2015. Habitat selection in reintroduced
- giant anteaters: the critical role of conservation areas. Journal of Mammalogy 96, 1024-1035.
- Di Blanco, Y.E., Spørring, K.L., Di Bitetti, M.S., 2017b. Daily activity pattern of
- reintroduced giant anteaters (Myrmecophaga tridactyla): effects of seasonality and
- ror experience. Mammalia 81, 11-21.
- 708 Dobson, A., Lyles, A., 2000. Black-Footed Ferret Recovery. Science 288, 985-988.
- 709 Doherty, T.S., Dickman, C.R., Johnson, C.N., Legge, S.M., Ritchie, E.G., Woinarski, J.C.Z.,
- 2017. Impacts and management of feral cats Felis catus in Australia. Mammal Review 47,83-97.
- 712 Doody, J.S., Castellano, C.M., Rhind, D., Green, B., 2013. Indirect facilitation of a native
- 713 mesopredator by an invasive species: are cane toads re-shaping tropical riparian
- communities? Biological Invasions 15, 559-568.

- 715 Doody, J.S., Green, B., Rhind, D., Castellano, C.M., Sims, R., Robinson, T.A., 2009.
- 716 Population-level declines in Australian predators caused by an invasive species. Animal
- 717 Conservation 12, 46-53.
- 718 Doody, J.S., Mayes, P., Clulow, S., Rhind, D., Green, B., Castellano, C.M., D'Amore, D.,
- 719 Mchenry, C., 2014. Impacts of the invasive cane toad on aquatic reptiles in a highly modified
- ecosystem: the importance of replicating impact studies. Biological Invasions 16, 2303-2309.
- 721 Doody, J.S., Rhind, D., Green, B., Castellano, C., McHenry, C., Clulow, S., 2017. Chronic
- reflects of an invasive species on an animal community. Ecology 98, 2093-2101.
- Doody, J.S., Soanes, R., Castellano, C.M., Rhind, D., Green, B., McHenry, C.R., Clulow, S.,
- 2015. Invasive toads shift predator–prey densities in animal communities by removing top
- 725 predators. Ecology 96, 2544-2554.
- 726 Dowding, J.E., Murphy, E.C., 2001. The impact of predation by introduced mammals on
- endemic shorebirds in New Zealand: a conservation perspective. Biological Conservation 99,
- 728 47-64.
- 729 Driscoll, D., Banks, S.C., 2018. The grim story of the Snowy Mountains' cannibal horses., In
- 730 The Conversation. pp. <u>https://theconversation.com/the-grim-story-of-the-snowy-mountains-</u>
- 731 <u>cannibal-horses-31691</u>, Online.
- 732 Driscoll, D.A., Watson, M.J., 2019. Science denialism and compassionate conservation:
- response to Wallach et al. 2018. Conservation Biology 0.
- 734 Druce, H.C., Mackey, R.L., Slotow, R., 2011. How immunocontraception can contribute to
- elephant management in small, enclosed reserves: Munyawana population as a case study.
- 736 PLoS ONE 6, e27952.
- 737 Dunn, M., Marzano, M., Forster, J., Gill, R.M.A., 2018. Public attitudes towards "pest"
- 738 management: Perceptions on squirrel management strategies in the UK. Biological
- 739 Conservation 222, 52-63.
- 740 Dwyer, C., 2018. Massive eradication effort ends rodents' reign of terror on forbidding isle.,
- 741 pp. <u>https://www.npr.org/sections/thetwo-way/2018/2005/2010/610057564/massive-</u>
- 742 eradication-effort-ends-rodents-reign-of-terror-on-forbidding-isle. National Public Radio.
- Everest, D.J., Shuttleworth, C.M., Grierson, S.S., Dastjerdi, A., Stidworthy, M.F., Duff, J.P.,
- Higgins, R.J., Mill, A., Chantrey, J., 2018. The implications of significant adenovirus
- 745 infection in UK captive red squirrel (Sciurus vulgaris) collections: How histological
- screening can aid applied conservation management. Mammalian Biology 88, 123-129.

- 747 Everest, D.J., Shuttleworth, C.M., Stidworthy, M.F., Grierson, S.S., Duff, J.P., Kenward,
- 748 R.E., 2014. Adenovirus: an emerging factor in red squirrel *Sciurus vulgaris* conservation.
- 749 Mammal Review 44, 225-233.
- Falk, J.H., Reinhard, E.M., Vernon, C., Bronnenkant, K., Heimlich, J.E., Deans, N.L., 2007.
- 751 Why zoos & aquariums matter: Assessing the impact of a visit to a zoo or aquarium.
- 752 Association of Zoos & Aquariums Silver Spring, MD.
- Fleming, P.J., Ballard, G., 2019. Yes, killing is sometimes essential for conservation.
- 754 Australian Zoologist.
- 755 Fleming, P.J.S., 2018. Compassionate conservation or misplaced conservation. Invasive
- 756 Species Council, Fairfield, Australia. Available from
- 757 https://invasives.org.au/blog/compassionate-conservation/ (accessed October 2019).
- Ford, A.T., Cooke, S.J., Goheen, J.R., Young, T.P., 2017. Conserving megafauna or
- r59 sacrificing biodiversity? BioScience 67, 193-196.
- Ford, A.T., Goheen, J.R., 2015. Trophic cascades by large carnivores: a case for strong
- rence and mechanism. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30, 725-735.
- Fordham, D., Georges, A., Corey, B., Brook, B.W., 2006. Feral pig predation threatens the
- rest and local persistence of snake-necked turtles in northern Australia.
- 764 Biological Conservation 133, 379-388.
- Fraser, D., MacRae, A.M., 2011. Four types of activities that affect animals: implications for
- animal welfare science and animal ethics philosophy. Animal Welfare 20, 581-590.
- 767 Friend, J.A., 1990. The numbat Myrmecobius fasciatus (Myrmecobiidae): history of decline
- and potential for recovery. Proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia. 16, 369-377.
- Fritts, T.H., Rodda, G.H., 1998. The role of introduced species in the degradation of island
- ecosystems: a case history of Guam. Annual review of ecology and systematics 29, 113-140.
- Galetti, M., Root-Bernstein, M., Svenning, J.C., 2017. Challenges and opportunities for
- rewilding South American landscapes. Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation 15, 245-247.
- Germano, J.M., Field, K.J., Griffiths, R.A., Clulow, S., Foster, J., Harding, G., Swaisgood,
- R.R., 2015. Mitigation-driven translocations: are we moving wildlife in the right direction?
- Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 13, 100-105.
- 777 Gray, J. (2017). Zoo ethics: The challenges of compassionate conservation. CSIRO
- 778 PUBLISHING.Grayson, J., Calver, M.C., 2004. Regulation of domestic cat ownership to
- 779 protect urban wildlife: a justification based on the precautionary principle., In Urban

- 780 Wildlife: more than meets the eye. eds D. Lunney, S. Burgin, pp. 169-178. RZSNSW,
- 781 Mosman, NSW, Australia.
- Hannam, P., 2018. 'Insane': government gets its way on wild horses despite protests from
- scientists., In Sydney Morning Herald. pp.
- 784 <u>https://www.smh.com.au/environment/conservation/insane-government-gets-its-way-on-</u>
- 785 wild-horses-despite-protests-from-scientists-20180606-p20180604zjrn.html. Fairfax P/L,
- 786 Sydney, Australia.
- 787 Hayward, M.W., 2011. Using the IUCN Red List to determine effective conservation
- strategies. Biodiversity and Conservation 20, 2563-2573 doi: 2510.1007/s10531-10011-
- 789 10091-10533.
- Hayward, M.W., Callen, A., Allen, B., Ballard, J.G., Bugir, C., Clarke, R.H., Clulow, J.,
- 791 Clulow, S., Fleming, P.J.S., Griffin, A.S., Howell, L.G., Kerley, G.I.H., Klop-Toker, K.L.,
- Legge, S., Periquet, S., Read, J.L., Scanlon, R., Seeto, R., Shuttleworth, C.M., Somers, M.J.,
- 793 Tamessar, C., Tuft, K., Upton, R., Valenzuela, M., Wayne, A., Witt, R., 2019. Deconstructing
- 794 'compassionate' conservation. Conservation Biology In press.
- 795 Hayward, M.W., Kerley, G.I.H., 2009. Fencing for conservation: restriction of evolutionary
- potential or a riposte to threatening processes? Biological Conservation 142, 1-13.
- 797 Hayward, M.W., Marlow, N.J., 2014. Will dingoes really conserve wildlife and can our
- methods tell? Journal of Applied Ecology 51, 835-838.
- Hayward, M.W., Moseby, K.E., Read, J.L., 2014. The role of predator exclosures in the
- 800 conservation of Australian fauna., In Carnivores of Australia. eds A.S. Glen, C.R. Dickman,
- 801 pp. 363-379. CSIRO Publishing, Heidelberg, Melbourne, Australia.
- Hayward, M.W., Poh, A.S.L., Cathcart, J., Churcher, C., Bentley, J., Herman, K., Kemp, L.,
- Riessen, N., Scully, P., Diong, C.H., Gibb, H., Friend, J.A., Legge, S., Carter, A., 2015.
- 804 Numbat nirvana: the conservation ecology of the endangered numbat Myrmecobius fasciatus
- 805 (Marsupialia: Myrmecobiidae) reintroduced to Scotia and Yookamurra Sanctuaries,
- Australia. Australian Journal of Zoology 63, 258-269.
- Hervieux, D., Hebblewhite, M., Stepnisky, D., Bacon, M., & Boutin, S. (2014). Managing
- wolves (Canis lupus) to recover threatened woodland caribou (Rangifer tarandus caribou) in
 Alberta. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 92(12), 1029-1037.
- 810 Hoffmann, M., Hilton-Taylor, C., Angulo, A., Böhm, M., Brooks, T.M., Butchart, S.H.M.,
- 811 Carpenter, K.E., Chanson, J., Collen, B., Cox, N.A., Darwall, W.R.T., Dulvy, N.K., Harrison,
- 812 L.R., Katariya, V., Pollock, C.M., Quader, S., Richman, N.I., Rodrigues, A.S.L., Tognelli,
- 813 M.F., Vié, J.-C., Aguiar, J.M., Allen, D.J., Allen, G.R., Amori, G., Ananjeva, N.B.,

- Andreone, F., Andrew, P., Ortiz, A.L.A., Baillie, J.E.M., Baldi, R., Bell, B.D., Biju, S.D.,
- 815 Bird, J.P., Black-Decima, P., Blanc, J.J., Bolaños, F., Bolivar-G., W., Burfield, I.J., Burton,
- J.A., Capper, D.R., Castro, F., Catullo, G., Cavanagh, R.D., Channing, A., Chao, N.L.,
- 817 Chenery, A.M., Chiozza, F., Clausnitzer, V., Collar, N.J., Collett, L.C., Collette, B.B.,
- 818 Fernandez, C.F.C., Craig, M.T., Crosby, M.J., Cumberlidge, N., Cuttelod, A., Derocher, A.E.,
- 819 Diesmos, A.C., Donaldson, J.S., Duckworth, J.W., Dutson, G., Dutta, S.K., Emslie, R.H.,
- 820 Farjon, A., Fowler, S., Freyhof, J., Garshelis, D.L., Gerlach, J., Gower, D.J., Grant, T.D.,
- Hammerson, G.A., Harris, R.B., Heaney, L.R., Hedges, S.B., Hero, J.-M., Hughes, B.,
- Hussain, S.A., Icochea M., J., Inger, R.F., Ishii, N., Iskandar, D.T., Jenkins, R.K.B., Kaneko,
- 823 Y., Kottelat, M., Kovacs, K.M., Kuzmin, S.L., La Marca, E., Lamoreux, J.F., Lau, M.W.N.,
- Lavilla, E.O., Leus, K., Lewison, R.L., Lichtenstein, G., Livingstone, S.R., Lukoschek, V.,
- Mallon, D.P., McGowan, P.J.K., McIvor, A., Moehlman, P.D., Molur, S., Alonso, A.M.,
- 826 Musick, J.A., Nowell, K., Nussbaum, R.A., Olech, W., Orlov, N.L., Papenfuss, T.J., Parra-
- 827 Olea, G., Perrin, W.F., Polidoro, B.A., Pourkazemi, M., Racey, P.A., Ragle, J.S., Ram, M.,
- 828 Rathbun, G., Reynolds, R.P., Rhodin, A.G.J., Richards, S.J., Rodríguez, L.O., Ron, S.R.,
- 829 Rondinini, C., Rylands, A.B., Sadovy de Mitcheson, Y., Sanciangco, J.C., Sanders, K.L.,
- 830 Santos-Barrera, G., Schipper, J., Self-Sullivan, C., Shi, Y., Shoemaker, A., Short, F.T.,
- 831 Sillero-Zubiri, C., Silvano, D.L., Smith, K.G., Smith, A.T., Snoeks, J., Stattersfield, A.J.,
- 832 Symes, A.J., Taber, A.B., Talukdar, B.K., Temple, H.J., Timmins, R., Tobias, J.A.,
- 833 Tsytsulina, K., Tweddle, D., Ubeda, C., Valenti, S.V., Paul van Dijk, P., Veiga, L.M.,
- 834 Veloso, A., Wege, D.C., Wilkinson, M., Williamson, E.A., Xie, F., Young, B.E., Akçakaya,
- H.R., Bennun, L., Blackburn, T.M., Boitani, L., Dublin, H.T., da Fonseca, G.A.B., Gascon,
- 836 C., Lacher, T.E., Mace, G.M., Mainka, S.A., McNeely, J.A., Mittermeier, R.A., Reid, G.M.,
- 837 Rodriguez, J.P., Rosenberg, A.A., Samways, M.J., Smart, J., Stein, B.A., Stuart, S.N., 2010.
- 838 The impact of conservation on the status of the world's vertebrates. Science 330, 1503-1509.
- 839 Hofmeyr, M., 1997. Operation Phoenix., ed. P.A. Johnson, p. 16. North West Parks Board,
- 840 Rustenberg, South Africa.
- Howell, L.G., Rodger, J.C., 2018. An examination of funding for terrestrial vertebrate fauna
- research from Australian federal government sources. Pacific Conservation Biology 24, 142-147.
- 844 Innes, J., Burns, B., Sanders, A., Hayward, M.W., 2015. The impact of private sanctuary
- networks on reintroduction programmes in Australia and New Zealand., In Reintroduction
- Biology in Australia and New Zealand. eds D.P. Armstrong, M.W. Hayward, D. Moro, P.J.
- 847 Seddon, pp. 185-199. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, Australia.

- 848 Innes, J., Lee, G., Burns, B., Campbell-Hunt, C., Watts, C., Phipps, H., Stephens, T., 2012.
- 849 Role of predator-proof fences in restoring New Zealand's biodiversity: a response to Scofield
- et al. (2011). New Zealand Journal of Ecology 36, 232-238.
- Jiménez-Pérez, I., Delgado, A., Di Blanco, Y.E., Abuin, R., Antúnez, B., Galetto, E., Peña, J.,
- 852 Solís, G., Spørring, K.L., Heinonen, S., 2016. Re-introduction of the giant anteater in Iberá
- 853 Nature Reserve, Corrientes, Argentina. Global Re-introduction Perspectives: 2016. Case-
- studies from around the globe, 205.
- Johnson, C.N., Ritchie, E.G., 2013. The dingo and biodiversity conservation: response to
- Fleming et al. (2012). Australian Mammalogy 35, 8-14.
- 857 Kanowski, J., Roshier, D., Smith, M., Fleming, A., 2018. Effective conservation of critical
- 858 weight range mammals: reintroduction projects of the Australian Wildlife Conservancy.
- 859 Recovering Australian Threatened Species: A Book of Hope, 269.
- Kareiva, P., Marvier, M., 2012. What is conservation science? BioScience 62, 962-969.
- Kerley, G.I., Shrader, A.M., 2007. Elephant contraception: silver bullet or a potentially bitter
- pill? South African Journal of Science 103, 181-182.
- Kerley, G.I.H., Landman, M., 2006. The impacts of elephants on biodiversity in the Eastern
 Cape subtropical thickets. South African Journal of Science 102, 395-402.
- 865 Kerley, G.I.H., Landman, M., Kruger, L., Owen-Smith, N., Balfour, D., De Beer, Y.,
- 866 Gaylard, A., Lindsay, K., Slotow, R., 2007. Effects of elephants on ecosystems and
- biodiversity., In 2007 South African Assessment of Elephant Management. pp. 1-71. South
- 868 African Government, Pretoria, South Africa.
- King, K., Wallis, R., Wallis, A., Peucker, A., WIlliams, D., 2015. Successful protection
- 870 against canid predation on little penguins (Eudyptula minor) in Australia using maremma
- guardian dogs the 'Warrnambook method'. International Journal of Arts and Sciences 8,
- 872 139.
- 873 Kinnear, J.E., Krebs, C.J., Pentland, C., Orell, P., Holme, C., Karvinen, R., 2010. Predator-
- baiting experiments for the conservation of rock-wallabies in Western Australia: a 25-year
- review with recent advances. Wildlife Research 37, 57-67.
- Kinnear, J.E., Sumner, N.R., Onus, M.L., 2002. The red fox in Australia an exotic predator
 turned biocontrol agent. Biological Conservation 108, 335-359.
- 878 Landman, M., Kerley, G.I.H., Schoeman, D.S., 2008. Relevance of elephant herbivory as a
- threat to Important Plants in the Addo Elephant National Park, South Africa. Journal of
- 880 Zoology 274, 51-58.

- Lawton, C., Waters, C., Shuttleworth, C.M., 2015. Reintroductions and translocations of red
- squirrels within Europe. , In Red Squirrel Ecology, Conservation and Management in Europe.
- eds C.M. Shuttleworth, P. Lurz, M.W. Hayward, pp. 193-223. European Squirrel Initiative,
- 884 Woodbridge, U.K.
- Legge, S., Murphy, B., McGregor, H., Woinarski, J., Augusteyn, J., Ballard, G., Baseler, M.,
- 886 Buckmaster, T., Dickman, C., Doherty, T., 2017. Enumerating a continental-scale threat: how
- many feral cats are in Australia? Biological Conservation 206, 293-303.
- Legge, S., Woinarski, J.C.Z., Burbidge, A.A., Palmer, R., Ringma, J., Mitchell, N., Radford,
- J., Bode, M., Wintle, B., Baseler, M., Bentley, J., Carter, O., Copley, P., Dexter, N.,
- B90 Dickman, C.R., Gillespie, G.R., Hill, B., Johnson, C.J., Latch, P., Letnic, M., Manning, A.D.,
- 891 Menkhorst, P., Morris, K.D., Moseby, K., Page, M., Pannell, D., Tuft, K., 2018. Havens for
- threatened Australian mammals: the contributions of fenced areas and offshore islands to
- 893 protecting mammal species that are susceptible to introduced predators. Wildlife Research In
- 894 press.
- Lever, C., 2001. The cane toad: the history and ecology of a successful colonist. Westbury
- 896 Academic & Scientific Pub.
- 897 Loh, T.-L., Larson, E.R., David, S.R., de Souza, L.S., Gericke, R., Gryzbek, M., Kough, A.S.,
- 898 Willink, P.W., Knapp, C.R., 2018. Quantifying the contribution of zoos and aquariums to
- peer-reviewed scientific research. FACETS 3, 287-299.
- 900 Lundgren, E.J., Ramp, D., Ripple, W.J., Wallach, A.D., 2018. Introduced megafauna are
- 901 rewilding the Anthropocene. Ecography 41, 857-866.
- 902 Luther, D.A., Brooks, T.M., Butchart, S.H.M., Hayward, M.W., Kester, M.E., Lamoreux, J.,
- 903 Upgren, A., 2016. Determinants of bird conservation action implementation and associated
- population trends of threatened species. Conservation Biology 30, 1338-1346.
- 905 Marris, E., 2018. When conservationists kill lots (and lots) of animals. The Atlantic 9,
- 906 569719 (Available from https://www.theatlantic.com/science/archive/562018/569709/is-
- 907 <u>wildlife-conservation-too-cruel/569719/</u>).
- 908 McGowan, M., 2018. NSW brumby plan makes Kosciuszko conservation 'impossible',
- 909 RSPCA says., In The Guardian. pp. https://www.theguardian.com/australia-
- 910 <u>news/2018/jun/2004/nsw-brumby-plan-makes-kosciusko-conservation-impossible-rspca-</u>
- 911 <u>says</u>, Online.
- 912 McInnes, J.M., Deane, D., Fiegna, C., 2015. Squirrelpox virus: origins and the potential for
- 913 its control., In Red Squirrel Ecology, Conservation and Management in Europe. eds C.M.

- 914 Shuttleworth, P. Lurz, M.W. Hayward, pp. 251-264. European Squirrel Initiative,
- 915 Woodbridge, U.K.
- 916 Moseby, K.E., Lollback, G.W., Lynch, C.E., 2018. Too much of a good thing; successful
- 917 reintroduction leads to overpopulation in a threatened mammal. Biological Conservation 219,
- **918** 78-88.
- 919 Moseby, K.E., Neilly, H., Read, J.L., Crisp, H., 2012. Interactions between a top order
- 920 predator and exotic mesopredators in the Australian rangelands. International Journal of
- 921 Ecology 250352, 15.
- 922 Moseby, K.E., Read, J., 2006. The efficacy of feral cat, fox and rabbit exclusion fence
- designs for threatened species protection. Biological Conservation 127, 427-437.
- Moss, A., Jensen, E., Gusset, M., 2014. Evaluating the contribution of zoos and aquariums to
- 925 Aichi biodiversity target 1. Conservation Biology, n/a-n/a.
- 926 Mutze, G., 1991. Long-term effects of warren ripping for rabbit control in semi-arid South
- 927 Australia. The Rangeland Journal 13, 96-106.
- 928 Mutze, G., Bird, P., Cooke, B., Henzell, R., 2008. Geographic and seasonal variation in the
- 929 impact of rabbit haemorrhagic disease on european rabbits, Oryctolagus cuniculus, and rabbit
- damage in Australia, In Lagomorph Biology: Evolution, Ecology, and Conservation. eds P.C.
- 931 Alves, N. Ferrand, K. Hackländer, pp. 279-293. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin,
- 932 Heidelberg.
- 933 National Agricultural Marketing Council, 2006. Report on the Investigation to Identify
- 934 Problems for Sustainable Growth and Development in South African Wildlife Ranching.
- 935 NAMC Pretoria.
- Newsome, T., van Eeden, L., 2017. The effects of food waste on wildlife and humans.
- 937 Sustainability 9, 1269.
- 938 Newsome, T.M., Ballard, G.-A., Crowther, M.S., Fleming, P.J.S., Dickman, C.R., 2014.
- 939 Dietary niche overlap of free-roaming dingoes and domestic dogs: the role of human-
- provided food. Journal of Mammalogy 95, 392-403.
- Palmer, C., Corr, S., Sandøe, P., 2012. Inconvenient desires: Should we routinely neuter
 companion animals? Anthrozoös 25, s153-s172.
- 943 Pech, R.P., Sinclair, A.R.E., Newsome, A.E., Catling, P.C., 1992. Limits to predator
- 944 regulation of rabbits in Australia: evidence from predator-removal experiments. Oecologia
- 945 89, 102-112.

- 946 Pedler, R.D., Brandle, R., Read, J.L., Southgate, R., Bird, P., Moseby, K.E., 2016. Rabbit
- 947 biocontrol and landscape-scale recovery of threatened desert mammals. Conservation
- 948 Biology, n/a-n/a.
- 949 Peterson, R.O., Vucetich, J.A., Bump, J.M., Smith, D.W., 2014. Trophic cascades in a
- multicausal world: Isle Royale and Yellowstone. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and
 Systematics 45, 325-345.
- 952 Phillips, B.L., Brown, G.P., Shine, R., 2003. Assessing the potential impact of cane toads on
- 953 Australian snakes. Conservation Biology 17, 1738-1747.
- 954 Pilot, M., Greco, C., vonHoldt, B.M., Randi, E., Jędrzejewski, W., Sidorovich, V.E.,
- 955 Konopiński, M.K., Ostrander, E.A., Wayne, R.K., 2018. Widespread, long-term admixture
- between grey wolves and domestic dogs across Eurasia and its implications for the
- 957 conservation status of hybrids. Evolutionary Applications 11, 662-680.
- 958 Possingham, H.P., Jarman, P., Kearns, A., 2004. Independent review of Western Shield -
- 959 February 2003. Conservation Science Western Australia 5, 2-11.
- 960 Prugh, L.R., Stoner, C.J., Epps, C.W., Bean, W.T., Ripple, W.J., Laliberte, A.S., Brashares,
- J.S., 2009. The rise of the mesopredator. BioScience 59, 779-791.
- 962 Pryor, S., 2018. Legless lizards and earless dragons show roo culls are working., In Canberra
- 963 Times. pp. <u>https://www.canberratimes.com.au/national/act/legless-lizards-and-earless-</u>
- 964 dragons-show-roo-culls-are-working-20180518-p20180514zg20180575.html. Fairfax Pty
- 965 Ltd, Canberra, ACT.
- 966 Ramp, D., Ben-Ami, D., Boom, K., & Croft, D. B., 2013. Compassionate conservation: a
- paradigm shift for wildlife management in Australasia. Ignoring nature no more: the case forcompassionate conservation. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 295.
- 969 Ramp, D., 2013. Bringing compassion to the ethical dilemma in killing kangaroos for
- 970 conservation. Journal of bioethical inquiry 10, 267-272.
- 871 Ramp, D., Bekoff, M., 2015. Compassion as a practical and evolved ethic for conservation.
- 972 BioScience 65, 323-327.
- 973 Remeš, V., Matysioková, B., Cockburn, A., 2012. Nest predation in New Zealand songbirds:
- exotic predators, introduced prey and long-term changes in predation risk. Biological
- 975 Conservation 148, 54-60.
- 976 Rhymer, J.M., Simberloff, D., 1996. Extinction by hybridization and introgression. Annual
- 977 review of ecology and systematics 27, 83-109.

- 978 Ringma, J.L., Wintle, B., Fuller, R.A., Fisher, D., Bode, M., 2017. Minimizing species
- extinctions through strategic planning for conservation fencing. Conservation Biology 31,1029-1038.
- 981 Ritchie, E.G., Schultner, J., Nimmo, D.G., Fischer, J., Hanspach, J., Kuemmerle, T., Kehoe,
- 982 L., Dorresteijn, I., 2016. Crying wolf: limitations of predator–prey studies need not preclude
- their salient messages. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283.
- 984 Rohwer, Y., Marris, E., 2019. Clarifying compassionate conservation with hypotheticals:
- 985 response to Wallace et al. 2018. Conservation Biology In press.
- 986 Romeo, C., Ferrari, N., Lanfranchi, P., Saino, N., Santicchia, F., Martinoli, A., Wauters, L.A.,
- 987 2015. Biodiversity threats from outside to inside: effects of alien grey squirrel (Sciurus
- 988 carolinensis) on helminth community of native red squirrel (Sciurus vulgaris). Parasitology
- 989 research 114, 2621-2628.
- 990 Russell, J.C., Blackburn, T.M., 2017a. Invasive alien species: denialism, disagreement,
- definitions, and dialogue. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 32, 312-314.
- Russell, J.C., Blackburn, T.M., 2017b. The rise of invasive species denialism. Trends in
 Ecology & Evolution 32, 3-6.
- Russell, J.C., Innes, J.G., Brown, P.H., Byrom, A.E., 2015. Predator-free New Zealand:
 conservation country. BioScience.
- 996 Russell, J.C., Jones, H.P., Armstrong, D.P., Courchamp, F., Kappes, P.J., Seddon, P.J.,
- 997 Oppel, S., Rauzon, M.J., Cowan, P.E., Rocamora, G., Genovesi, P., Bonnaud, E., Keitt, B.S.,
- Holmes, N.D., Tershy, B.R., 2016. Importance of lethal control of invasive predators forisland conservation. Conservation Biology 30, 670-672.
- 1000 Sainsbury, A.W., Deaville, R., Lawson, B., Cooley, W.A., Farelly, S.S.J., Stack, M.J., Duff,
- 1001 J.P., McInnes, C.J., Gurnell, J., Russell, P.H., 2008. Poxviral disease in red squirrels Sciurus
- *vulgaris* in the UK: spatial and temporal trends of an emerging threat. EcoHealth 5, 305-316.
- 1003 Seddon, P.J., Griffiths, C.J., Soorae, P.S., Armstrong, D.P., 2014. Reversing defaunation:
- 1004 Restoring species in a changing world. Science 345, 406-412.
- 1005 Sharp, T., Saunders, G., 2011. A Model for Assessing the Relative Humaneness of Pest
- 1006 Animal Control Methods. Australian Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry,
- 1007 Canberra, ACT.
- 1008 Shine, R., 2010. The ecological impact of invasive cane toads (Bufo marinus) in Australia.
- 1009 The Quarterly Review of Biology 85, 253-291.

- 1010 Short, J., Richards, J.D., O'Neill, S., 2018. Reintroduction of the greater stick-nest rat
- 1011 (Leporillus conditor) to Heirisson Prong, Shark Bay: an unsuccessful attempt to establish a
- 1012 mainland population. Australian Mammalogy.
- 1013 Shuttleworth, C.M., Kenward, R.E., Jackson, N.J., 2016. Developing red squirrel re-
- 1014 introduction techniques for use during regional grey squirrel eradication programmes in
- 1015 Europe., In 2015 Global Reintroduction Perspectives. ed. P. Soorae, pp. 182-189. IUCN, Abu
- 1016 Dhabi, UAE.
- 1017 Shuttleworth, C.M., Lurz, P., Hayward, M.W. eds., 2015. Red Squirrel Ecology,
- 1018 Conservation and Management in Europe. European Squirrel Initiative, Woodbridge, U.K.
- 1019 Simberloff, D., 1995. Why do introduced species appear to devastate islands more than
- 1020 mainland areas? Pacific Science 49, 87-97.
- 1021 Singer, P., 1990. Animal Liberation. Random House, New York.
- 1022 Soulé, M.E., 1986. Conservation biology and the" real world. Conservation Biology 1, 1-12.
- 1023 Springer, K., 2018. Eradication of invasive species on Macquarie Island to restore the natural
- 1024 ecosystem, In Recovering Australian Threatened Species: A Book of Hope. eds S.T. Garnett,
- 1025 J.C.Z. Woinarski, D.B. Lindenmayer, P. Latch, pp. 13-22. CSIRO, Collingwood, Australia.
- 1026 Stephens, D., Wilton, A.N., Fleming, P.J.S., Berry, O., 2015. Death by sex in an Australian
- 1027 icon: a continent-wide survey reveals extensive hybridization between dingoes and domestic
- 1028 dogs. Molecular Ecology 24, 5643-5656.
- 1029 Swanagan, J.S., 2000. Factors influencing zoo visitors' conservation attitudes and behavior.
- 1030 The Journal of Environmental Education 31, 26-31.
- 1031 Taylor, A., Lindsey, P.A., Davies-Mostert, H.T., 2016. An Assessment of the Economic,
- 1032 Social and Conservation Value of the Wildlife Ranching Industry and its Potential to Support
- 1033 the Green Economy in South Africa., p. 164. Endangered Wildlife Trust, Johannesburg,
- 1034 South Africa.
- 1035 Thomas, C.D., Cameron, A., Green, R.E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L.J., Collingham, Y.C.,
- 1036 Erasmus, B.F.N., De Siqueira, M.F., Grainger, A., Hannah, L., Hughes, L., Huntley, B., van
- 1037 Jaarsveld, A.S., Midgley, G.F., Miles, L., Ortega-Huerta, M.A., Peterson, A.T., Phillips, O.L.,
- 1038 Williams, S.E., 2004. Extinction risk from climate change. Nature 427, 145-148.
- 1039 Tingley, R., Ward-Fear, G., Schwarzkopf, L., Greenlees, M.J., Phillips, B.L., Brown, G.,
- 1040 Clulow, S., Webb, J., Capon, R., Sheppard, A., 2017. New weapons in the Toad Toolkit: a
- 1041 review of methods to control and mitigate the biodiversity impacts of invasive cane toads
- 1042 (Rhinella marina). The Quarterly Review of Biology 92, 123-149.

- 1043 Tompkins, D.M., Sainsbury, A.W., Nettleton, P., Buxton, D., Gurnell, J., 2002. Parapoxvirus
- 1044 causes a deleterious disease in red squirrels associated with UK population declines.
- 1045 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 269, 529-533.
- 1046 University of Technology Sydney, n.d. What is compassionate conservation? University of
- 1047 Technology Sydney, Ultimo, Australia. Available from <u>https://www.uts.edu.au/research-and-</u>
- 1048 <u>teaching/our-research/centre-compassionate-conservation/about-us/what-compassionate</u>
- 1049 (accessed October, 2019).
- 1050 van Dyk, G., Slotow, R., 2003. The effects of fences and lions on the ecology of African wild
- 1051 dogs reintroduced to Pilanesberg National Park, South Africa. African Zoology 38, 79-94.
- 1052 Vanbergen, A.J., Insect Pollinators Initiative, 2013. Threats to an ecosystem service:
- 1053 pressures on pollinators. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 11, 251-259.
- 1054 Waldron, A., Miller, D.C., Redding, D., Mooers, A., Kuhn, T.S., Nibbelink, N., Roberts, J.T.,
- 1055 Tobias, J.A., Gittleman, J.L., 2017. Reductions in global biodiversity loss predicted from
- 1056 conservation spending. Nature 551, 364.
- 1057 Wallach, A., Bekoff, M., Batavia, C., Nelson, M.P., Ramp, D., 2018. Summoning
- 1058 compassion to address the challenges of conservation. Conservation Biology 32, 1255-1265.
- 1059 Wallach, A.D., Bekoff, M., Nelson, M.P., Ramp, D., 2015a. Promoting predators and
- 1060 compassionate conservation. Conservation Biology 29, 1481-1484.
- 1061 Wallach, A.D., Dekker, A.H., Lurgi, M., Montoya, J.M., Fordham, D.A., Ritchie, E.G., 2017.
- 1062 Trophic cascades in 3D: network analysis reveals how apex predators structure ecosystems.
- 1063 Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8, 135-142.
- 1064 Wallach, A.D., Ripple, W.J., Carroll, S.P., 2015b. Novel trophic cascades: apex predators
- 1065 enable coexistence. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30, 146-153.
- 1066 West, R., Letnic, M., Blumstein, D.T., Moseby, K.E., 2018. Predator exposure improves anti-
- 1067 predator responses in a threatened mammal. Journal of Applied Ecology 55, 147-156.
- 1068 White, T.C.R., 2016. Self-regulation, a persisting misinterpretation of the workings of
- 1069 biology. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 43, 384-387.
- 1070 Whyte, I.J., 2004. Ecological basis of the new elephant management policy for Kruger
- 1071 National Park and expected outcomes. Pachyderm 36, 99-108.
- 1072 Woinarski, J., Murphy, B., Legge, S., Garnett, S., Lawes, M., Comer, S., Dickman, C.,
- 1073 Doherty, T., Edwards, G., Nankivell, A., 2017. How many birds are killed by cats in
- 1074 Australia? Biological Conservation 214, 76-87.

- 1075 Woinarski, J.C., Burbidge, A.A., Harrison, P.L., 2015. Ongoing unraveling of a continental
- 1076 fauna: decline and extinction of Australian mammals since European settlement. Proceedings
- 1077 of the National Academy of Sciences, 201417301.
- 1078 Woinarski, J.C.Z., Burbidge, A.A., Harrison, P.L., 2014. The Action Plan for Australian
- 1079 Mammals 2012. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, Australia.
- 1080 Woinarski, J.C.Z., Murphy, B.P., Palmer, R., Legge, S.M., Dickman, C.R., Doherty, T.S.,
- 1081 Edwards, G., Nankivell, A., Read, J.L., Stokeld, D., 2018. How many reptiles are killed by
- 1082 cats in Australia? Wildlife Research 45, 247-266.
- 1083 Yanco, E., Nelson, M. P., & Ramp, D. (2019). Cautioning against overemphasis of normative
- 1084 constructs in conservation decision making. Conservation Biology.
- 1085 Zamboni, T., Di Martino, S., Jiménez-Pérez, I., 2017. A review of a multispecies
- 1086 reintroduction to restore a large ecosystem: The Iberá Rewilding Program (Argentina).
- 1087 Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation 15, 248-256.

Figures



1089

1088

1090 Fig. 1. A future with 'Compassionate Conservation' could involve a multitude of changes to mainstream conservation practices that will reduce conservation effectiveness and decrease 1091 welfare outcomes. a) Ceasing to lethally control introduced eastern grey squirrels in Europe 1092 would constrain native European red squirrels to painful deaths from squirrelpox -1093 transmitted by greys. b) The successful resurrection of the black-footed ferret from 'extinct in 1094 1095 the wild' involved the deaths of hundreds of individual small mammals as food, so captive breeding predators may be impossible under a 'Compassionate Conservation' paradigm. c) 1096 The practice of restricting the free-movement of animals via conservation fences may cease if 1097 'Compassionate Conservation'ist philosophies are accepted, leaving reintroduced populations 1098 1099 of lion in Africa unlikely to persist. Photo acknowledgements: a) Sarah McNeill, b) David Eads, c) Matt & Gina Hayward 1100



Fig. 2. The tracks in the sand from Arid Recovery show the race a fox made for its life while
being chased by a dingo during an experimental translocation to study the interactions
between these species (Moseby et al. 2012). Clearly, this interaction would have been highly
stressful for the fox throughout the chase, until the dingo ultimately killed it. This was not a
fast death. Photo by Katherine Moseby and John Read.



1107

Fig. 3. An Australian hypothetical representation of the likely outcome of 'Compassionate Conservation'. On the left had side of the fence, a rich native biodiversity exists with eastern grey kangaroos, turquoise parrots *Neophema pulchella*, bridled nailtail wallabies, black-eared miners, numbats, dingoes and tiger quoll *Dasyurus maculatus* persist, whereas across the fence in the area managed via 'Compassionate Conservation' we see an ecosystem dominated by invasive species (cane toads, feral cats, and European red foxes) and large macropods and dingoes (from left to right). Although fences restrict an animal's free movement, we have shown them to illustrate the stark differences a 'Compassionate Conservation' approach would yield.